Australian Rivers Institute

Sustainable solutions for rivers, coasts and catchments

A machine learning approach to estimate river bank erosion through multitemporal LIDAR and spectral imagery

Fabio Iwashita, Andrew Brooks, Graeme Curwen, Jon Spencer, Jon Olley

Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith University











Machine learning



Sediment Sinks Sources & Drivers

















Self organizing maps (SOM)

Each samples is associated to a vector





Positions of the samples change, the topology is preserved



Map is projected on a toroid









Variables

Sensor	Metric	Resolution (m)
LIDAR	Erosion	1
	Elevation	30
SBIN	Slope	30
SITT	Drainage area	30
	Range	30
	Ratio B5/B4	30
	Ratio B3/B5	30
	Ratio B3/B2	30
Landsat 5	Ratio B3/B4	30
Lanusat S	Ratio B7/B2	30
	Ratio B3/B1	30
	Irradiance B6	120
	NDVI	30
	Channel depth	
	Channe width	





Landsat 5 - TM

- Order on http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/
- After 2003 "on demand"





Digital Number to Spectral Reflectance

$$L_{\lambda} = G_{\text{rescale}} \times Q_{\text{cal}} + B_{\text{rescale}} \qquad \rho_P = \frac{1}{\Gamma}$$

$$\frac{\Pi \cdot L_{\lambda} \cdot d^2}{\text{ESUN}_{\lambda} \cdot \cos \theta_s}$$

$$T = \frac{K2}{\ln\left(\frac{K1}{L_{\lambda}} + 1\right)}$$

	Spectral Radiances, LMIN _{λ} and LMAX _{λ} in W/(m ² .sr. µm)								
Processing		From Ma	arch 1, 1984			Aftor M	ay 5 2003	003	
Date		To May 4, 2003							
Band	LMIN _λ	$LMAX_{\lambda}$	G _{rescale}	Brescale	LMIN _λ	LMAX _λ	G _{rescale}	B _{rescale}	
1	-1.52	152.10	0.602431	-1.52	-1.52	193.0	0.762824	-1.52	
2	-2.84	296.81	1.175100	-2.84	-2.84	365.0	1.442510	-2.84	
3	-1.17	204.30	0.805765	-1.17	-1.17	264.0	1.039880	-1.17	
4	-1.51	206.20	0.814549	-1.51	-1.51	221.0	0.872588	-1.51	
5	-0.37	27.19	0.108078	-0.37	-0.37	30.2	0.119882	-0.37	
6	1.2378	15.303	0.055158	1.2378	1.2378	15.303	0.055158	1.2378	
7	-0.15	14.38	0.056980	-0.15	-0.15	16.5	0.065294	-0.15	

the second se	the second s	the second se	A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	of the other states are an end of the state	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC
DOY	Distance	DOY	Distance	DOY	Distance
1	0.9832	121	1.0076	242	1.0092
15	0.9836	135	1.0109	258	1.0057
32	0.9853	152	1.014	274	1.0011
46	0.9878	166	1.0158	288	0.9972
60	0.9909	182	1.0167	305	0.9925
74	0.9945	196	1.0165	319	0.9892
91	0.9993	213	1.0149	335	0.986
106	1.0033	227	1.0128	349	0.9843
DOY-	Day of Ye	ar (Julia	n Day)	365	0.9833

Units	s: ESUN = $W/(m^2)$.	μm)	
Model:	Chance Spectrum CHKUR		
Band	Landsat 4	Landsat 5	
1	1957	1957	
2	1825	1826	
3	1557	1554	
4	1033	1036	
5	214.9	215.0	
7	80.72	80.67	

TM THERMAL BAND CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

Units	W/(m ² .sr. µm)	Kelvin	
Constant	K1	K2	
Landsat 4	671.62	1284.30	
Landsat 5	607.76	1260.56	





Band ratios







Ratio B5B4

soil moisture, water body, vegetation, barren lands,







Ratio B7B2

soil moisture, water body, vegetation, barren lands,







Band 6

Thermal infra-red Irradiance





Self organizing maps (SOM)

Each samples is associated to a vector





Positions of the samples change, the topology is preserved



Map is projected on a toroid





ffith **IVFRSI**



1.17

0.56

d

ratio31





179

132

85.8

23.4

15.5

7.53

1.15

0.909

d

d

CHDEPTH

ratio32



17.1

10

2.98



















Conclusions

- Results show an increasing trend of erosion from the headwaters to the lower reaches associated with bank retreat due to mass failures.
- Anomalous high values of erosion in the upper basin are likely related to alluvial gully erosion as observed during our fieldwork.

